

Fall 2018 Summary Report

LEE-ANN VIDAL COVAS

Course Sections	Enrolled Students	Responded Students	Response Rate
A LX 110 (S2): Accents&Society	18	15	83.33%
B LX 110 (S4): Accents&Society	16	12	75%
C LX 110 (S6): Accents&Society	10	7	70%
Overall	44	34	77.27%

Relevance of assigned readings

	(1) Low	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) High	Mean	Standard Deviation	Did Not Answer	Total Responses
A	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	13.33% (2)	33.33% (5)	46.67% (7)	4.2	0.91	0	15
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (2)	41.67% (5)	41.67% (5)	4.25	0.72	0	12
C	28.57% (2)	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	14.29% (1)	42.86% (3)	3.43	1.68	0	7

Difficulty of course

	Easy	Moderately Easy	Neither Easy nor Difficult	Moderately Difficult	Difficult	Mean	Standard Deviation	Did Not Answer	Total Responses
A	0% (0)	20% (3)	60% (9)	20% (3)	0% (0)	3	0.63	0	15
B	0% (0)	33.33% (4)	33.33% (4)	0% (0)	33.33% (4)	3.33	1.25	0	12
C	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	42.86% (3)	14.29% (1)	28.57% (2)	3.57	1.05	0	7

Workload in course

	Light	Moderately Light	Neither Light nor Heavy	Moderately Heavy	Heavy	Mean	Standard Deviation	Did Not Answer	Total Responses
A	6.67% (1)	13.33% (2)	60% (9)	13.33% (2)	6.67% (1)	3	0.89	0	15
B	0% (0)	25% (3)	33.33% (4)	25% (3)	16.67% (2)	3.33	1.03	0	12
C	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	28.57% (2)	42.86% (3)	14.29% (1)	3.57	0.9	0	7

Course Evaluation

Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Mean	Standard Deviation	Did Not Answer	Total Responses
------	------	------	-----------	-----------	-----	------	--------------------	----------------	-----------------

Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)										
A	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	0% (0)	40% (6)	53.33% (8)	0% (0)	4.4	0.8	0	15
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	25% (3)	58.33% (7)	8.33% (1)	4.55	0.66	0	12
C	28.57% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	71.43% (5)	0% (0)	3.86	1.81	0	7
Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)										
A	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	13.33% (2)	80% (12)	4.67	0.47	0	15
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	25% (3)	0% (0)	66.67% (8)	3.75	0.43	0	12
C	28.57% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	28.57% (2)	28.57% (2)	3.2	1.83	0	7
Usefulness of assignments and papers										
A	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	6.67% (1)	40% (6)	46.67% (7)	0% (0)	4.27	0.85	0	15
B	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	25% (3)	50% (6)	16.67% (2)	0% (0)	3.75	0.83	0	12
C	14.29% (1)	14.29% (1)	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	57.14% (4)	0% (0)	3.86	1.55	0	7
Overall course rating										
A	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	20% (3)	40% (6)	33.33% (5)	0% (0)	4	0.89	0	15
B	0% (0)	16.67% (2)	8.33% (1)	50% (6)	25% (3)	0% (0)	3.83	0.99	0	12
C	14.29% (1)	14.29% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	71.43% (5)	0% (0)	4	1.6	0	7
Faculty Evaluation										
	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		Mean	Standard Deviation	Did Not Answer	Total Responses
Effectiveness in explaining concepts										
A	6.67% (1)	0% (0)	20% (3)	33.33% (5)	40% (6)		4	1.1	0	15
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (2)	50% (6)	33.33% (4)		4.17	0.69	0	12
C	28.57% (2)	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	14.29% (1)	42.86% (3)		3.43	1.68	0	7
Ability to stimulate interest in subject										
A	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	13.33% (2)	46.67% (7)	33.33% (5)		4.07	0.85	0	15
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (2)	66.67% (8)	16.67% (2)		4	0.58	0	12

C	28.57% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	42.86% (3)	28.57% (2)	3.43	1.59	0	7
Encouragement of class participation									
A	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	0% (0)	53.33% (8)	40% (6)	4.27	0.77	0	15
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	41.67% (5)	50% (6)	4.42	0.64	0	12
C	14.29% (1)	14.29% (1)	0% (0)	28.57% (2)	42.86% (3)	3.71	1.48	0	7
Fairness in grading									
A	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	20% (3)	26.67% (4)	46.67% (7)	4.13	0.96	0	15
B	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	0% (0)	50% (6)	41.67% (5)	4.25	0.83	0	12
C	14.29% (1)	14.29% (1)	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	57.14% (4)	3.86	1.55	0	7
Promptness in returning assignments									
A	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	40% (6)	60% (9)	4.6	0.49	0	15
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (2)	33.33% (4)	50% (6)	4.33	0.75	0	12
C	14.29% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	71.43% (5)	4.29	1.39	0	7
Quality of feedback to students									
A	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	0% (0)	40% (6)	53.33% (8)	4.4	0.8	0	15
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	25% (3)	25% (3)	50% (6)	4.25	0.83	0	12
C	14.29% (1)	14.29% (1)	14.29% (1)	0% (0)	57.14% (4)	3.71	1.58	0	7
Availability outside of class									
A	0% (0)	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	40% (6)	53.33% (8)	4.47	0.62	0	15
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	50% (6)	41.67% (5)	4.33	0.62	0	12
C	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	14.29% (1)	28.57% (2)	42.86% (3)	4	1.07	0	7
Overall rating of instructor									
A	0% (0)	6.67% (1)	0% (0)	40% (6)	53.33% (8)	4.4	0.8	0	15
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	58.33% (7)	33.33% (4)	4.25	0.6	0	12
C	14.29% (1)	14.29% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	71.43% (5)	4	1.6	0	7

Fall 2018 Comment Report

LEE-ANN VIDAL COVAS

Course Sections

A LX 110 (S2): Accents&Society

B LX 110 (S4): Accents&Society

C LX 110 (S6): Accents&Society

STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor: -

- A**
- Great job at facilitating useful conversation
 - Lee-Ann is very good at explaining concepts multiple ways if people are confused, which I think is very important! We discuss the importance of linguistics in terms of social systems and I think that type of real-world connection is what makes this class great.
 - homework is helpful for learning the week's lecture material
 - Gave helpful feedback/suggestions during office hours
 - She was really engaging. I was never bored in her class.
 - Very fair grader and good at explaining concepts in discussion that may have been a little confusing during lecture.
 - She is really good at helping her students when they do not understand some class material.
 - Was very understanding and helpful on assignments! She also made our discussions interesting
 - It's clear she is a very skilled teacher. Anytime I was confused from lecture, the discussion cleared it up. The class felt lively and engaged. It was a welcoming and thought-provoking environment. Enjoyed all the discussions and felt that the extra practice was beneficial.
 - She was very nice and available to help.

- B**
- Very understanding
 - I always felt very relaxed and comfortable in class, and I really enjoyed our class discussion. Expectations were always clear. Discussions were a safe place to ask questions about assignments and our final papers... nothing too strenuous but Lee-Ann's feedback was always helpful.
 - Wonderful TA! You're very helpful and welcoming. You make discussions fun!
 - Lee-Ann was very approachable, which made it easier to talk to her regarding assignments and other questions about the course.
 - -very relaxed, low pressure environment -great at explaining assignments/feedback on what was the right thing to do/wrong thing to do
 - She's like a pal. She is always optimistic, enthusiastic and patient!!
 - Open-minded full passion in class
 - Kind, helpful, high engagement

- C**
- very accessible
 - Interesting, straightforward
 - Seems to really like the professor in general
 - Lee-Ann is a very helpful instructor. She was always available to help and really excited me about going to discussion when I usually dislike discussion sections. She's very organized.
 - She's just really nice and understanding and non-judgemental. She explains difficult concepts well and creates an open environment where it's okay to disagree and it's okay to be wrong and learn.

WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor: -

A

- Her definition of help was to restate the question that you asked her. Class time was not used efficiently or effectively. Her explanations of things were often confusing and she often talks down on students (not sure if thats the right phrase but I can't think of a better one)
- I really have nothing to say for weaknesses.
- None
- none
- discussion section was a bit useless. We just recapped lectures and went over homework. I don't think there was supposed to be a discussion section but it was added late in the summer

B

- Sometimes she gets confused about concepts, harsh grading, difficult
- no
- Sometimes have problems replying emails, but very rarely.
- -N/A
- Can't think of any?
- Nah, you're great :)
- More in depth explanations

C

- In discussions, her attitude and tone was always aggressive and made me feel uncomfortable. It felt like another class rather than discussion section for the course. The feedbacks were not helpful at all. Under such pressured atmosphere, I did not want to share my thoughts.
- None, she's amazing and perfect
- Her tone of speaking felt pretty aggressive sometimes
- N/A

General Comments: -

A

- nice
- Great instructor!
- I feel like oftentimes there can be too much dissonance between the discussion and the lecture. In this case, it was not true. They worked well in tandem to provide an enriching educational experience.
- This discussion was a waste of time. Originally there was no discussion and it was a disappointment to see that a discussion was added after registration was already done. It was even more if a disappointment to waste 50 minutes a week for something I got next to nothing out of. The TA had great intentions. She was wonderful. I think she was just thrown into something that was unnecessary and there was no basis for.

B

- No
- 酪
- Great discussion leader!
- I love her, and I really hope to see other nice TA as she is!
- Great great great teacher have the ability to think comprehensively

C

- She is a person who is easy to reach out to for help and others regarding the course. But I did feel like she feels a little too comfortable around the students, judging from her frequent use of inappropriate words. She is a teaching fellow for a linguistics related course....
- Big thank
- I really hope she treats students in a more friendly attitude rather than creating a pressured and unwelcoming environment.

Spring 2020 Summary Report

LEE-ANN VIDAL COVAS

Course Sections	Enrolled Students	Responded Students	Response Rate
A LX 110 (S3): Say What? Accents, Dialects, and Society	16	7	43.75%
B LX 110 (S4): Say What? Accents, Dialects, and Society	16	2	12.5%
C LX 110 (S6): Say What? Accents, Dialects, and Society	16	4	25%
Overall	48	13	27.08%

Relevance of assigned readings

	(1) Low	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5) High	Mean	Standard Deviation	Did Not Answer	Total Responses
A	0% (0)	0% (0)	28.57% (2)	42.86% (3)	28.57% (2)	4	0.76	0	7
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	0% (0)	50% (1)	4	1	0	2
C	0% (0)	0% (0)	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)	4	0.71	0	4

Difficulty of course

	Easy	Moderately Easy	Neither Easy nor Difficult	Moderately Difficult	Difficult	Mean	Standard Deviation	Did Not Answer	Total Responses
A	14.29% (1)	42.86% (3)	28.57% (2)	14.29% (1)	0% (0)	2.43	0.9	0	7
B	0% (0)	50% (1)	50% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2.5	0.5	0	2
C	0% (0)	25% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	75% (3)	4.25	1.3	0	4

Workload in course

	Light	Moderately Light	Neither Light nor Heavy	Moderately Heavy	Heavy	Mean	Standard Deviation	Did Not Answer	Total Responses
A	14.29% (1)	14.29% (1)	42.86% (3)	28.57% (2)	0% (0)	2.86	0.99	0	7
B	0% (0)	50% (1)	50% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	2.5	0.5	0	2
C	0% (0)	25% (1)	0% (0)	50% (2)	25% (1)	3.75	1.09	0	4

Course Evaluation

Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent	N/A	Mean	Standard Deviation	Did Not Answer	Total Responses
------	------	------	-----------	-----------	-----	------	--------------------	----------------	-----------------

Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)										
A	0%	0%	0%	14.29%	85.71%	0%	4.86	0.35	0	7
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(6)	(0)				
B	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	4.5	0.5	0	2
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(1)	(0)				
C	0%	25%	25%	25%	25%	0%	3.5	1.12	0	4
	(0)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(0)				
Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)										
A	0%	0%	0%	0%	28.57%	71.43%	5	0	0	7
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)	(5)				
B	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	5	0	0	2
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)	(0)				
C	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	75%	4	0	0	4
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(0)	(3)				
Usefulness of assignments and papers										
A	0%	0%	14.29%	14.29%	57.14%	14.29%	4.5	0.76	0	7
	(0)	(0)	(1)	(1)	(4)	(1)				
B	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	4.5	0.5	0	2
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(1)	(0)				
C	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	3.5	0.5	0	4
	(0)	(0)	(2)	(2)	(0)	(0)				
Overall course rating										
A	0%	0%	0%	28.57%	57.14%	14.29%	4.67	0.47	0	7
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)	(4)	(1)				
B	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	4.5	0.5	0	2
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(1)	(0)				
C	0%	0%	75%	0%	25%	0%	3.5	0.87	0	4
	(0)	(0)	(3)	(0)	(1)	(0)				

Faculty Evaluation										
	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent		Mean	Standard Deviation	Did Not Answer	Total Responses
Effectiveness in explaining concepts										
A	0%	0%	0%	71.43%	28.57%		4.29	0.45	0	7
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(5)	(2)					
B	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%		5	0	0	2
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(2)					
C	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%		4	0	0	4
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(4)	(0)					
Ability to stimulate interest in subject										
A	0%	0%	0%	71.43%	28.57%		4.29	0.45	0	7
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(5)	(2)					
B	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%		4.5	0.5	0	2
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(1)					

C	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (2)	25% (1)	25% (1)	3.75	0.83	0	4
Encouragement of class participation									
A	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	42.86% (3)	57.14% (4)	4.57	0.49	0	7
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (1)	50% (1)	4.5	0.5	0	2
C	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (2)	50% (2)	0% (0)	3.5	0.5	0	4
Fairness in grading									
A	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	57.14% (4)	42.86% (3)	4.43	0.49	0	7
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)	5	0	0	2
C	0% (0)	25% (1)	25% (1)	50% (2)	0% (0)	3.25	0.83	0	4
Promptness in returning assignments									
A	0% (0)	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	42.86% (3)	42.86% (3)	4.29	0.7	0	7
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)	5	0	0	2
C	25% (1)	0% (0)	25% (1)	25% (1)	25% (1)	3.25	1.48	0	4
Quality of feedback to students									
A	0% (0)	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	71.43% (5)	14.29% (1)	4	0.53	0	7
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)	5	0	0	2
C	0% (0)	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)	0% (0)	3	0.71	0	4
Availability outside of class									
A	0% (0)	0% (0)	14.29% (1)	42.86% (3)	42.86% (3)	4.29	0.7	0	7
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)	5	0	0	2
C	0% (0)	0% (0)	25% (1)	25% (1)	50% (2)	4.25	0.83	0	4
Overall rating of instructor									
A	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	57.14% (4)	42.86% (3)	4.43	0.49	0	7
B	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	100% (2)	5	0	0	2
C	0% (0)	0% (0)	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)	4	0.71	0	4

Spring 2020 Comment Report

LEE-ANN VIDAL COVAS

Course Sections

A LX 110 (S3): Say What? Accents, Dialects, and Society

B LX 110 (S4): Say What? Accents, Dialects, and Society

C LX 110 (S6): Say What? Accents, Dialects, and Society

Strengths of the course and of the instructor: -

- A**
- Very confident and driving in the course. Always a great enthusiasm present in the discussion with encouragement.
 - Good at explaining concepts, understanding, and encouraging of class participation. Overall, a great TF!

- C**
- Lee-Ann is very kind and respectful of her students, and she makes discussions very fun and engaging.
 - This allows me to learn different aspects of linguistic features across the world

Weaknesses of the course and of the instructor: -

- A**
- Sometimes you might have gone over topics a bit quickly, but overall you were always willing to help. Homework was a bit challenging, but not impossible.

- C**
- The assigned reading each week is too much to be completely read.
 - Sometimes it is not clear what she took points off for in our homework, as the feedback can be very vague at times.

General Comments -

- A**
- Thanks for a great semester!

- C**
- Overall, Lee-Ann is a great instructor who is very engaging and explains difficult concepts well!